

NEW TRENDS FOR ELIMINATING LAND-BASED POLLUTION IN THE MEDITERRANEAN SEA

BY

VALENTÍN BOU FRANCH (*)

I. Introduction – II. The Tunis Conference on Sustainable Development in the Mediterranean «MED 21» – III. The revision process of the Barcelona system; A. The Barcelona Resolution on the Environment and Sustainable Development in the Mediterranean Basin; B. The Action Plan for the Protection of the Marine Environment and the Sustainable Development of the Coastal Areas of the Mediterranean (MAP Phase II); C. The Priority Fields of Activities for the Environment and Development in the Mediterranean Basin (1996-2005) – IV. The 1996 Amendments to the Protocol for the Protection of the Mediterranean Sea against Pollution from Land-Based Sources – V. Preparatory works for the early implementation of the 1996 amended Protocol – VI. Conclusions

I. – INTRODUCTION

Article 122 of the 1982 Montego Bay Convention on the Law of the Sea reads as follows :

« For the purposes of this Convention, 'enclosed or semi-enclosed sea' means a gulf, basin or sea surrounded by two or more States and connected to another sea or the ocean by a narrow outlet or consisting entirely or primarily of the territorial seas and exclusive economic zones of two or more coastal States ».

Although this provision presents some difficulties of interpretation, because the 1982 Montego Bay Convention does not define the terms « gulf », « basin » and « sea », some scholars consider that its first part relates to an « enclosed sea », which consists of a body of water that is almost completely surrounded by land, having only a « narrow outlet » to other waters. Its second characteristic, that is, consisting « entirely or primarily of the territorial seas and exclusive

(*) Professor of Public International Law. Institute of International Law, University of Valencia, Spain.

economic zones of two or more coastal States » relates to « semi-enclosed seas », which in some cases appears to overlap with « enclosed seas » (1).

Anyway, the definition in Article 122 is sufficiently broad to include a great many bodies of water as either enclosed or semi-enclosed seas. The first criterion mentioned – a body of water surrounded by two or more States and connected with other waters by a narrow outlet – permits relatively large seas to be included, such as the Mediterranean Sea. The requirement that it be « surrounded by two or more States » excludes bodies of water such as the Hudson Bay or the Marmara Sea, and in combination with the second criterion, the Kara Sea and the Gulf of California. The second criterion – that the sea in question consists « entirely or primarily » of territorial seas or exclusive economic zones of two or more States – could also include the Mediterranean Sea, despite the fact that, to date, no State bordering the Mediterranean has claimed a 200-mile exclusive economic zone in the Mediterranean.

The « narrow outlet » connecting an enclosed or semi-enclosed sea with another sea or the ocean could be a natural outlet such as a strait (as the Gibraltar strait or the Turkish straits in the Mediterranean Sea), or a manmade outlet such as a canal (as the Suez Canal in the Mediterranean Sea). If the narrow outlet is a natural strait, and is used for international navigation, it comes within the scope of Part III or Article 311 of the 1982 Montego Bay Convention. If the narrow outlet is a canal, it will be governed by other treaty obligations (2).

Moreover, we must underline that, in the 1985 *Continental Shelf* case (Libyan Arab Jamahiriya/Malta) the International Court of Justice expressly regarded the Mediterranean Sea as a semi-enclosed sea. In that context, it noted that reference to neighbouring States is particularly apposite, for it is the coastal relationships in the whole geographical context that are to be taken into account of and respected in determining the continental shelf appertaining to a given State (3).

(1) See S.N. NANDAN, S. ROSENNE, N.R. GRANDY (eds.), *United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea. A Commentary*, 1994, vol. 3, p. 348.

(2) Concerning the Suez Canal, see the Convention Respecting the Free Navigation of the Suez Maritime Canal, 29 October 1888, printed in *AJIL*, Supplement, 3, pp. 123 ss.

(3) See *Continental Shelf (Libyan Arab Jamahiriya/Malta)*, Judgment, *ICJ Reports*, p. 40, par. 47.

The second provision of the 1982 Montego Bay Convention dealing with enclosed or semi-enclosed seas is Article 123. This provision, which is entitled « Co-operation of States bordering enclosed or semi-enclosed seas » reads as follows :

« States bordering an enclosed or semi-enclosed sea should co-operate with each other in the exercise of their rights and in the performance of their duties under the Convention. To this end they shall endeavour, directly or through an appropriate regional organization :

- (a) to co-ordinate the management, conservation, exploration and exploitation of the living resources of the sea;
- (b) to co-ordinate the implementation of their rights and duties with respect to the protection and preservation of the marine environment;
- (c) to co-ordinate their scientific research policies and undertake where appropriate joint programmes of scientific research in the area;
- (d) to invite, as appropriate, other interested States or international organizations to co-operate with them in the furtherance of the provisions of this Article ».

Concerning item (b) of this Article, we must underline that Mediterranean co-operation on environmental affairs started long before the adoption of the 1982 Montego Bay Convention. Since the adoption of the Mediterranean Action Plan (hereinafter, quoted as MAP) in Barcelona, on 4 February 1975 (4), the coastal States of the Mediterranean Sea and the European Community, in close collaboration with the United Nations Environment Programme, have successfully co-operated on a regional basis in order to protect the marine environment of this semi-enclosed sea. As a result of this regional co-operation, the Barcelona system for the protection of

(4) See M. TANGI, « Plan d'Action pour la Méditerranée après 1973 », in *La Méditerranée*, Ann. études int., 6, 1975, pp. 134 ss.; D. DE HOYOS, « The United Nations Environment Program : the Mediterranean Conferences », *HILJ*, 17, 1976, pp. 639 ss.; M. YERROULANOS, *The Mediterranean Action Plan : a Success Story in International Co-operation*, Coastal Management, 49, 1982, pp. 175 ss.; E.G. RAFTOPOULOS, « The Mediterranean Action Plan in a Functional Perspective : A Quest for Law and Policy », *MAP Technical Report Series*, n° 25, UNEP, 1988, Athens, pp. 105 ss.; *The Mediterranean Action Plan. Saving our common heritage*, Athens, UNEP, 1992, pp. 40 ss.; J.B. SKJAERSETH, « The 'effectiveness' of the Mediterranean Action Plan », *IEA*, 1993, pp. 313 ss.

the Mediterranean Sea was built, being integrated by several political and legal instruments (5).

At the Eighth Ordinary Meeting of the Contracting Parties to the Convention for the Protection of the Mediterranean Sea against Pollution and its Related Protocols, held in Antalya, Turkey, from 12 to 15 October 1993, the Contracting Parties decided to begin a revision process of the whole Barcelona system for the protection of the Mediterranean Sea in order to update these political and legal instruments and to adjust them to the new environmental trends that had appeared after the celebration of the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED). In concrete, the Contracting Parties decided «to evaluate, revise and refocus MAP's activities in a spirit of transparency with the intention of addressing more effectively the challenge of sustainable development and the irreversible nature of impacts on the environment and resources». Moreover, regarding the legal instruments that constituted the Barcelona system, «it was agreed that the Secretariat would organise a meeting of national experts to examine ... the possibility of adapting the texts of the Barcelona Convention and

(5) The texts of the MAP, the Barcelona Convention for the Protection of the Mediterranean Sea against Pollution, the Protocol for the Prevention of Pollution of the Mediterranean Sea by Dumping from Ships and Aircraft, the Protocol concerning Co-operation in Combating Pollution of the Mediterranean Sea by Oil and Other Harmful Substances in Cases of Emergency, the Protocol for the Protection of the Mediterranean Sea against Pollution from Land-Based Sources and the Protocol concerning Mediterranean Specially Protected Areas are published in *UNEP*, «Mediterranean Action Plan and Convention for the Protection of the Mediterranean Sea against Pollution and its related protocols», Athens, 1992, pp. 61 ss. Later on, a Protocol for the Protection of the Mediterranean Sea against Pollution Resulting from Exploration and Exploitation of the Continental Shelf and the Seabed and Its Subsoil and a Protocol on the Prevention of Pollution of the Mediterranean Sea By Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and Their Disposal, have been adopted in Madrid, on 14 October 1994, and in Izmir, on 1 October 1996, respectively. See Doc. UNEP(OCA)/MED IG.4/4 : «Final Act and Protocol for the Protection of the Mediterranean Sea against Pollution Resulting From Exploration and Exploitation of the Continental Shelf and the Seabed and its Subsoil (Madrid, 13-14 October 1994)» and Doc. UNEP(OCA)/MED IG.9/4 (11 October 1996) : «Final Act and Protocol on the Prevention of Pollution of the Mediterranean Sea By Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and Their Disposal (Izmir, 1 October 1996)». See JUSTE, J. RUIZ, «The Evolution of the Barcelona Convention and Its Protocols for the Protection of the Mediterranean Sea against Pollution» in E.L. MILES, T. TREVES (eds.), *The Law of the Sea : New Worlds, New Discoveries*, pp. 208 ss.; U. LEANZA, *Il nuovo diritto del mare e la sua applicazione nel Mediterraneo*, Torino, 1993, pp. 485 ss.; T. SCOVAZZI, «The Fifth Protocol to the Barcelona Convention for the Protection of the Mediterranean», *IJO Newsletter*, November 1994, pp. 14 ss.; *ibid.*, «Il quinto protocollo alla convenzione di Barcellona sulla protezione del Mediterraneo», *RGA*, 1995, pp. 365 ss.; V. BOU, «Prevention of Pollution of the Mediterranean Sea Area by Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and their Disposal» in *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Environmental Protection, is a Must*, Alexandria, 1997, pp. 751 ss.; *ibid.*, «Prevention of Offshore Pollution in the Mediterranean Sea», in H. GÖKÇEKUS (éd.), *Proceedings of the International Conference on Water Problems in the Mediterranean Countries*, Nicosia, 1998, vol. 2, pp. 1157 ss.

its related Protocols to the latest developments in international environmental law » (6). Nearly at the same time, the Bureau of the Contracting Parties asked the Co-ordinating Unit for the MAP to take into account the results of the Ministerial Conference on Sustainable Development in the Mediterranean, that was going to be held in Tunis at the end of 1994.

After convening several Meetings of Legal and Technical Experts, and during the twentieth anniversary of the adoption of the MAP, the revision process ended at the Conference of Plenipotentiaries that was held in Barcelona, from 9 to 10 June 1995, where new political and legal instruments were adopted (7). On the one hand, the political instruments adopted at this Conference of Plenipotentiaries consisted in the Barcelona Resolution on the Environment and Sustainable Development in the Mediterranean Basin, the Action Plan for the Protection of the Marine Environment and the Sustainable Development of the Coastal Areas of the Mediterranean (MAP Phase II) and the Priority Fields of Activities for the Environment and Development in the Mediterranean Basin (1996-2005). On the other hand, the new legal instruments were the Amendments to the Convention for the Protection of the Mediterranean Sea against Pollution, the Amendments to the Protocol for the Prevention of Pollution of the Mediterranean Sea by Dumping from Ships and Aircraft and the adoption of a new Protocol concerning Specially Protected Areas and Biological Diversity in the Mediterranean (8).

(6) See Doc. UNEP(OCA)/MED IG.3/5 : « Report of the Eighth Ordinary Meeting of the Contracting Parties to the Convention for the Protection of the Mediterranean Sea against Pollution and Its Related Protocols », p. 25, par. 139.

(7) See Doc. UNEP(OCA)/MED IG.6/7 : « Final Act of the Conference of Plenipotentiaries on the Amendments to the Convention for the Protection of the Mediterranean Sea against Pollution, to the Protocol for the Prevention of Pollution of the Mediterranean Sea by Dumping from Ships and Aircraft and on the Protocol concerning Specially Protected Areas and Biological Diversity in the Mediterranean », Athens, 1995, pp. 409 ss. An integrated version of all the Mediterranean instruments can be found in UNEP, (1995), « Mediterranean Action Plan Phase II and Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment and the Coastal Region of the Mediterranean and its Protocols », Athens, pp. 129 ss.

(8) When this last Protocol enters into force, it will replace the 1982 Specially Protected Areas Protocol. See V. BOU, « Protected areas and species : the Mediterranean Basin », in E. ÖZHAN (éd.), *Proceedings of the Second International Conference on the Mediterranean Coastal Environment*, 1995, vol. 2, pp. 671 ss.; *ibid.*, « Hacia la integración del medio ambiente y el desarrollo sostenible en la región mediterránea », *ADI*, 12, 1996, pp. 201 ss.; « International Law Facing Mediterranean Sustainable Development : the Revision of the Barcelona Convention and its Related Protocols », *ICCOPS International Workshop 1995 : Regional Seas towards Sustainable Development in the Mediterranean*, Genoa, 19-22 April 1995; J. JUSTE RUIZ, « El Plan de Acción para el Mediterráneo 20 años después : la revisión del Convenio de Barcelona y sus protocolos »,

The only new legal innovation adopted during the revision process concerning land-based pollution is contained in the 1995 amended Art. 8 of the Barcelona Convention for the Protection of the Mediterranean Sea against Pollution. The amended Art. 8 is entitled « Pollution from Land-Based Sources » and it states the following :

« The Contracting Parties shall take all appropriate measures to prevent, abate, combat and to the fullest possible extent eliminate pollution of the Mediterranean Sea Area and to draw up and implement plans for the reduction and phasing out of substances that are toxic, persistent and liable to bioaccumulate arising from land-based sources. These measures shall apply :

(a) to pollution from land-based sources originating within the territories of the Parties, and reaching the sea :

- directly from outfalls discharging into the sea or through coastal disposal;
- indirectly through rivers, canals or other watercourses, including underground watercourses, or through run-off;

(b) to pollution from land-based sources transported by the atmosphere ».

Although this provision is very general and vague in character and it needs to be implemented by more additional and concrete provisions, at least it must be underlined that it introduces the objective of eliminating to the fullest possible extent land-based pollution in the Mediterranean Sea Area. It is also worth noting that, once the revision process was concluded, neither any new amendment was adopted to the Protocol for the Protection of the Mediterranean Sea against Pollution from Land-Based Sources (Athens, 17 May 1980) (hereinafter, quoted as 1980 LBS Protocol), nor any new protocol was adopted in order to replace the 1980 LBS Protocol. It is true that, at the beginning of the revision process of

Meridiano Ceri, 6, 1995, pp. 12 ss.; *ibid.*, « Le Plan d'action pour la Méditerranée vingt ans après : la revision des instruments de Barcelone », *ERM*, 9, 1995, pp. 249 ss.; S. MARCHISIO, « Mediterranean Sustainable Development in International Law », in E. ÖZHAN (ed.), *Proceedings of the Second International Conference on the Mediterranean Coastal Environment*, vol. 2, 1995, pp. 657 ss.; J. ROS VICEN, *Introducció*. In : Generalitat de Catalunya (ed.), *Conveni de Barcelona per a la protecció de la mar Mediterrània*, 1995, pp. 7 ss.; T. SCOVAZZI, « The Recent Developments in the 'Barcelona System' for the Protection of the Mediterranean against Pollution », *IJMCL*, 11, 1996, pp. 95 ss.; A. VALLEGA, « The Mediterranean after the 1995 Convention. The historical sense of a turnaround point », in E. ÖZHAN (ed.), *Proceedings of the Second International Conference on the Mediterranean Coastal Environment*, vol. 2, 1995, pp. 719 ss.

the Barcelona system, some amendments to the 1980 LBS Protocol were drafted and discussed both with general (9) and particular attention (10). But several reasons, such as the large amount of work to be done in a limited period of time, the complexity of this matter, the discrepancies existing among the different national delegations ... recommended to postpone this legislative process after the conclusion of the revision process of the Barcelona system.

Nevertheless, and although no new amendment to the 1980 LBS Protocol was adopted during the revision process of the Barcelona system, several political arrangements adopted both at the Tunis Conference and at the very same revision process, dealt with this matter and they have exerted a great influence on the subsequent legal practice of the Contracting Parties.

Once this revision process was ended, another Meeting of Legal and Technical Experts was held in Syracuse (Italy), from 3 to 5 March 1996, aimed at concluding the negotiations on the draft amendments to the 1980 LBS Protocol (11). After this Meeting of Experts, the Conference of Plenipotentiaries that finally adopted the amendments to the 1980 LBS Protocol was also held in Syracuse, from 6 to 7 March 1996 (12).

(9) The Meeting of Legal and Technical Experts held in Barcelona, from 14 to 18 November 1994, discussed the draft amendments to the Barcelona Convention, to the Dumping Protocol, to the 1980 LBS Protocol, to the Specially Protected Areas Protocol and to the MAP (see Doc. UNEP(OCA)/MED WG.82/4 (25 November 1994) : « Report of the Meeting of Legal and Technical Experts to Examine Amendments to the Barcelona Convention and its Related Protocols and the Mediterranean Action Plan »).

(10) Another Meeting of Legal and Technical Experts convened to discuss exclusively the draft amendments to the 1980 LBS Protocol, was held in Syracuse (Italy), from 4 to 6 May 1995. Although before it took place, there were high expectations on it (see Doc. UNEP(OCA)/MED IG.5/3 (15 April 1995) : « Report of the Executive Director on the Implementation of the Mediterranean Action Plan during 1994-1995 », p. 10, par. 31), it was soon evident that another Meeting of Legal and Technical Experts was needed for discussing more intensively the draft amendments to the 1980 LBS Protocol. The different views existing among the national delegations can be seen in : Doc. UNEP(OCA)/MED WG.92/4 (11 May 1995) : « Report of the Meeting of Legal and Technical Experts to Examine Amendments to the Protocol for the Protection of the Mediterranean Sea Against Pollution from Land-Based Sources (Syracuse, 4-6 May 1995) », par. 11 ss. Due to the lack of time, this new Meeting ought to take place after the conclusion of the revision process.

(11) See Doc. UNEP(OCA)/MED WG.107/4 (5 March 1996) : « Report of the Second Meeting of Legal and Technical Experts to Examine Amendments to the Protocol for the Protection of the Mediterranean Sea against Pollution from Land-based Sources ».

(12) See Doc. UNEP(OCA)/MED IG.7/4 (15 March 1996) : « Final Act of the Conference of Plenipotentiaries on the Amendment of the Protocol for the Protection of the Mediterranean Sea against Pollution from Land-Based Sources », pp. 113 ss.

II. – THE TUNIS CONFERENCE ON SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT IN THE MEDITERRANEAN « MED 21 »

The Ministerial Conference on Sustainable Development in the Mediterranean « MED 21 » was held in Tunis on 1 November 1994. The « MED 21 » Conference was the result of the invitation formulated by the President of the Tunis Republic, *Mr. Zine El Abidine Ben Ali*, on 5 June 1993, to the Mediterranean countries (13) to hold a conference that would transparently assess the state of the environment in the region, identify an agenda aiming at conciliating environment and development in the spirit of the Rio Agenda 21, and establish more adequate conditions for promoting co-operation in the fields of sustainable economic and social development in a way likely to meet the requirements and aspirations of the present generations without jeopardising the rights and opportunities of future generations.

The results of the « MED 21 » Conference were the approval of four political documents concerning sustainable development in the Mediterranean Region, but only one of them, that is, Agenda MED 21, dealt with topics related directly or indirectly to marine land-based pollution (14). The Agenda MED 21 is a Mediterranean reading of the Rio Agenda 21 and contains an analysis, Chapter by Chapter, of the main characteristics of the Mediterranean area, of useful orientations for national or bilateral actions and of suggestions for inter-Mediterranean co-operation. This document, though

(13) The « MED 21 » Conference was attended by 13 Ministers in charge of the environment in this geographical region (Spain, France, Monaco, Italy, Slovenia, Croatia, Greece, Turkey, Israel, Malta, Tunisia, Algeria and Morocco) and by Mr. Klaus Topper, Minister in charge of the Environment in Germany which then assumed the presidency of the European Union, who participated as the President of the United Nations Commission for Sustainable Development. Egypt, Cyprus and Bosnia-Herzegovina participated in this Conference through delegations headed by their ambassadors accredited in Tunis. The MAP Co-ordinator and his immediate collaborators, the directors of the MAP regional activity centres, and representatives from several international organisations (FAO, UNIDO, UNEP, UNESCO, WWO, the World Bank and the Arab League), as well as from several NGOs (CEDARE, the Tunisian NGO Committee for Med 21, Friends of the Earth, MIO/ECSDE and Greenpeace International) also participated in the preparation and organisation of the Conference.

(14) The texts of the Tunis Declaration for Sustainable Development in the Mediterranean Basin, the Resolution Related to the Creation of a Mediterranean Commission on Sustainable Development, the Resolution Relative to the Use of Land Policy Tools to Ensure the Conservation of the Mediterranean Coastal Areas and the Agenda MED 21, are published in *Republic of Tunisia*, (1995), « The Tunis Conference on Sustainable Development in the Mediterranean », 2 vols.

not being mandatory in character, will constitute one of the basic reference documents for the forthcoming work of the Mediterranean Commission on Sustainable Development, that has been recently set up (15). It was also used both to readjust the MAP and during the revision of the Barcelona Convention and its related protocols.

It is curious to note that both the Rio Agenda 21 and the Agenda MED 21 underline the importance of this source of marine pollution. Following the wording of the Rio Agenda 21, more than 70 per cent of marine pollution results from land-based sources; pursuant to Agenda MED 21, « more than 80 % of the pollution in the Mediterranean Sea results from land-based activities (direct land-based pollution, air pollution) ». But with these figures, it is not easy to understand why neither of the two agendas devoted a whole Chapter to this source of marine pollution. At least, Programme Area B of Chapter 17 of the Rio Agenda 21 gives prominence to this kind of marine pollution (16), but this is not certainly the case with Chapter XVII of Agenda MED 21 (17), which does not include any particular reference to this source of marine pollution among the suggestions made at regional level.

Another important difference between the two agendas lies in the fact that the references contained in the Rio Agenda 21 on the need both to implement the Guidelines for the protection of the marine environment against pollution from land-based sources (Montreal, 24 May 1985) (18) and to amend and strengthen the existing regional protocols on marine pollution resulting from land-based

(15) At their Ninth Ordinary Meeting, held at Barcelona from 5 to 8 June 1995, the Contracting Parties to the Convention for the Protection of the Mediterranean Sea against Pollution and its Protocols decided that a Mediterranean Commission on Sustainable Development should be set up within the framework of the MAP. Subsequently, the Extraordinary Meeting of the Contracting Parties, held at Montpellier from 1 to 4 July 1996, adopted the terms of reference of the Commission and decided on the criteria for membership. Finally, the First Meeting of the Mediterranean Commission on Sustainable Development was held at Rabat (Morocco) from 16 to 18 December 1996. See UNEP (OCA)/MED WG.CRP1 (18 December 1996) : « Draft Report of the First Meeting of the Mediterranean Commission on Sustainable Development », pp. 13 ss.

(16) Programme Area B is entitled « Marine environmental protection ». Chapter 17 of the Rio Agenda 21 is entitled « Protection of the oceans, all kinds of seas, including enclosed and semi-enclosed seas and coastal areas and the protection, rational use and development of their living resources ». See T. TREVES, « The Protection of the Oceans in Agenda 21 and International Environmental Law », in *The Environment After Rio. International Law and Economics*, C. CAMPIGLIO et al, 1994, pp. 161 ss.

(17) Chapter XVII of Agenda MED 21 is entitled : « Protection of the sea and coastal areas : protection, rational use and development of their biological resources ».

(18) Its text is published in L.D. GURUSWAMY et al, *Supplement of Basic Documents to International Environmental Law and World Order*, 1994.

sources, have disappeared in the Agenda MED 21. In fact, Chapter XXIX of the Agenda MED 21, entitled « International legal instruments and mechanisms », does not say a single word on this special source of marine pollution.

However, the Agenda MED 21 does contain stipulations related directly or indirectly to land-based pollution, but they are scattered throughout its Chapters. Accordingly, among the suggestions recommended to each Mediterranean State at national level on the one hand, the Agenda MED 21 mentions the following :

1. to develop national plans for the treatment of urban household waste through underground disposal (Chapter X, point 15);
2. to follow-up and assess, on a regular basis, sources of land pollution and their impact on land and marine resources, and take the appropriate preventive, curative and control measures to stop this dumping of waste (Chapter XVII, point 15);
3. to adopt clear policies on the production, consumption, transport, storage and discharge of toxic substances and, among other things, ask industries to develop programmes for safe substitutes and bear the cost of collection, handling and storage of toxic products according to the most stringent OECD standards (Chapter XIX, point 8);
4. to direct efforts towards decreasing the quantities of pesticides in order to reduce land pollution (*ibid.*, point 13);
5. to encourage the use of the least pollutant fertilisers in order to limit soil degradation and water pollution (*ibid.*, point 14);
6. to prioritise strategies to replace and ban the use of chlorine and chlorinated compounds to protect the environment and because of the effect they have on health and the fact that other safe substitutes are available (*ibid.*, point 16);
7. to strengthen the management systems for hazardous waste by supervising the various stages from generation to elimination of waste and improve, in particular, monitoring of all industries that generate hazardous waste (Chapter XX, point 7);
8. to limit recourse to technologies that generate hazardous waste and enhance the use of clean technologies especially in countries in the process of industrialisation, within the framework of new investment that allows the recycling of residues or that generate a lower quantity of waste (*ibid.*, point 9);

9. to draft national plans for the reduction and elimination of production of hazardous waste, and offer incentives for local industries to implement clean production processes (*ibid.*, point 13);

10. to elaborate, within the framework of its general policy on environmental protection, national programmes for the reduction, management and reuse of solid waste and sewage (Chapter XXI, point 7);

11. to minimise the impact of urban and industrial effluents on underground aquifers and coastal waters (*ibid.*, point 14); and

12. to implement a system of strict responsibility concerning production, management, transport and discharge of radioactive waste (Chapter XXII, point 7).

On the other hand, among the suggestions aimed at the strengthening of the co-operation among all the Mediterranean States at regional level, Agenda MED 21 also includes some provisions concerning marine pollution resulting from land-based sources and activities, such as the following :

1. to promote integrated disease-handling practices as a mean to diminish and then eliminate dependence on pesticides (Chapter X, point 19);

2. to adopt action programmes to eliminate the use of organo-chlorates and organo-phosphates by around the year 2005 (*ibid.*, point 20);

3. to co-operate in the biological control of pests, using plant-care products in an ecologically rational way and adopting action plans to eliminate the use of organo-chlorate and organo-phosphate pesticides by around the year 2005 (Chapter XIV, point 16);

4. to prepare and disseminate widely a list of toxic chemicals and to publish manuals on their management, storage, treatment, reduction, elimination and substitution in order to facilitate handling of accidents (Chapter XIX, point 20);

5. to enforce the protocols related to the Barcelona Convention with respect to the banning of waste discharges into the Mediterranean Sea (*ibid.*, point 24);

6. to develop a regional plan of action to put into effect the decisions made by the Contracting Parties to the Barcelona Convention in the 1980 LBS Protocol (*ibid.*, point 26).

III. – THE REVISION PROCESS OF THE BARCELONA SYSTEM

Although, as we have already mentioned, the adoption of new legal amendments to the 1980 LBS Protocol was postponed to a later moment, the three political instruments approved during the revision process of the Barcelona system dealt in some way or another with this important source of marine pollution. Accordingly, these three instruments have established a complex set of political arrangements, to be reached during the forthcoming years, aimed at, among other things, preventing, abating, combating and eliminating to the fullest possible extent land-based pollution in the Mediterranean Sea Area.

A. – *The Barcelona Resolution on the Environment and Sustainable Development in the Mediterranean Basin*

In the Barcelona Resolution, the three following political understandings must be pointed out. First of all, the Ministers in charge of the Environment in the Mediterranean States and the member of the European Commission in charge of the Environment entrusted the Co-ordinating Unit for the MAP with the task of concluding the process of preparing the amendments to the 1980 LBS Protocol, and requested the Executive Director of UNEP to convene a Conference of Plenipotentiaries to adopt these amendments by March 1996. These political decisions were effectively carried out and, as we have already said, the amendments to the 1980 LBS Protocol were adopted in Syracuse, on 7 March 1996.

Secondly, and despite the difficulties of its negotiations, the Ministers also agreed to the reduction by the year 2005 of discharges and emissions which could reach the marine environment, of substances which are toxic, persistent and liable to bioaccumulate, in particular the organohalogenes, to levels that are not harmful to man or nature, with a view to their gradual elimination. To this end, they also agreed to implement substantial reductions of such discharges and emissions, and if necessary, to supplement the reduction measures with programmes aiming at banning the use of such substances.

With the aim of guaranteeing the elimination by the year 2005 of the greatest possible number of these substances, in particular the organohalogens, and in order to facilitate and speed up the definition of methods, programmes and timetables, by categories of substances and by industrial branches, and of the best available technologies, it was agreed, on the one hand, to instruct the Contracting Parties to review regularly the relevant timetables. On the other hand, they entrusted UNEP with organising consultations with the Contracting Parties, scientific experts, industrialists and NGOs. To this end, a first Meeting was decided to be held as soon as possible and by 1 July 1996 at the latest. This Meeting was effectively held in Montpellier from 1 to 5 July 1996.

Lastly, they committed themselves to promote actively the transfer of clean technologies, in particular to developing countries, to encourage the establishment of clean production centres where required, and to research, promote, collect and disseminate information on clean production processes. Implementing this political understanding, at the IX Ordinary Meeting the Government of Spain offered, and it was unanimously accepted, that the Barcelona Centre for cleaner production initiatives became a new Regional Activity Centre of the MAP for cleaner production initiatives in the Mediterranean (19).

*B. – The Action Plan for the Protection
of the Marine Environment and the Sustainable
Development of the Coastal Areas of the Mediterranean
(MAP Phase II)*

The new MAP Phase II was designed taking into account the achievements and shortcomings of MAP's first twenty years of existence, as well as the results of recent developments such as the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED) (Rio de Janeiro, 1992), the Eighth Meeting of the Contracting Parties to the Barcelona Convention (Antalya, 1993), and the Conference « MED 21 » on Sustainable Development in the Mediterranean (Tunis, 1994).

(19) See Doc. UNEP(OCA)/MED IG.5/16 (8 June 1995) : « Report of the Ninth Ordinary Meeting of the Contracting Parties to the Barcelona Convention for the Protection of the Mediterranean Sea against Pollution and its Related Protocols », par. 110-111.

One of the most important characteristics of the new MAP Phase II consists in that, for the first time, its objectives are now expressly described. The main objectives of MAP Phase II are : to ensure sustainable management of natural marine and land resources and to integrate the environment in social and economic development, and land-use policies; to protect the marine environment and coastal zones through prevention of pollution and by reduction and, as far as possible, elimination of pollutant inputs, whether chronic or accidental; to protect nature, and protect and enhance sites and landscapes of ecological or cultural value; to strengthen solidarity among Mediterranean coastal States in managing their common heritage and resources for the benefit of present and future generations; and to contribute to the improvement of the quality of life.

MAP Phase II is, without any doubt, the instrument that more accurate political understandings contains concerning marine pollution resulting from land-based sources and activities. Its Chapter II, entitled « Strengthening of the legal framework », expressly states that the amendments to the 1980 LBS Protocol are expected to be soon adopted. In this context, its Chapter I, entitled « Sustainable development in the Mediterranean », embodies many references to land-based sources and activities of marine pollution that had to be taken into account during the negotiations of the 1996 amendments to the 1980 LBS Protocol. This is the case, for instance, with Subsection 1.1.2 concerning industry, or with Section 1.4 on integrated coastal area management.

Special attention deserves its Section 3, entitled « Assessment, prevention and elimination of marine pollution », particularly its Subsection 3.2.1 concerning prevention and elimination of the pollution of the marine environment from land-based activities. This Subsection begins with the assertion that marine pollution from land-based sources and activities has long been recognised as a major problem in the marine environment. Then it revises what has already been achieved in the framework of the MAP during the last twenty years, by stating that one of the responses of the Mediterranean countries to this problem was the adoption of the 1980 LBS Protocol. Implementing this Protocol, by the end of 1996 fifteen Mediterranean recommendations concerning common measures for the control of land-based sources of pollution had been

adopted by the Contracting Parties (20). As a measure for the further strengthening of the 1980 LBS Protocol, the Mediterranean marine pollution monitoring and research programme (MED POL) is gradually refocusing on problems of direct relevance to the prevention and elimination of pollution from land-based activities. As a result of this approach, in 1996 both the second survey on pollutants from land-based sources in the Mediterranean was finally carried out (21) and Guidelines for authorisations for the discharge of liquid wastes into the Mediterranean Sea were approved (22).

Afterwards, the objective of this component of the new MAP Phase II for the forthcoming years is described as the protection of the Mediterranean marine environment from the pollution from land-based activities. This objective will be met through the specific activities arising from the provisions of the LBS Protocol and common measures for the elimination of pollution. This objective could be achieved through the formulation and the adoption of a regional action plan for the reduction and, as far as possible, the elimination of pollution from land-based activities, which will include quantitative objectives and a calendar of implementation. This plan should be based on the environmental principles and techniques that arose after the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED), such as : the polluter-pays principle; the clean-production technology principle; an anticipatory rather than reactive approach; environmental impact assessment; environmental accounting, economic instruments (user fees, specific taxes, pricing policies and practices) and voluntary agreements (covenants).

After settling down this broad objective, Subsection 3.2.1 lists the activities recommended at regional and national levels. On the one

(20) The first thirteen common measures are published in UNEP, (1995), « Common Measures for the Control of Pollution adopted by the Contracting Parties to the Convention for the Protection of the Mediterranean Sea against Pollution », *MAP Technical Reports Series*, N° 95, Athens, 69 pp. Later on, in 1996 two additional common measures were adopted, concerning respectively measures for the control of pollution by zinc, copper and their compounds and measures for the control of pollution by detergents. On this point, see V. BOU, *La protección del Mar Mediterráneo contra la contaminación causada por fuentes y actividades situadas en tierra*, paper presented at the XVII Jornadas de la Asociación Española de Profesores de Derecho Internacional y Relaciones Internacionales, Mallorca, 25-26 Septiembre 1997.

(21) Cfr. UNEP, « Survey of Pollutants from Land-Based Sources in the Mediterranean », *MAP Technical Reports Series*, n° 109, Athens, 1996, pp. 188 ss.

(22) Cfr. UNEP, « Guidelines for authorisations for the discharge of liquid wastes into the Mediterranean Sea », *MAP Technical Reports Series*, n° 107, Athens, 1996, pp. 200 ss.

hand, at regional level, this Subsection recommends the following four activities :

1. to develop proposals for concrete measures for pollution prevention, reduction and elimination, based on the precautionary approach, as required by the Barcelona Convention and its Protocols;

2. to develop technical guidelines for the implementation of the measures adopted and to assist developing countries in their implementation and enforcement;

3. to collect information on the implementation of the measures adopted or recommended by the Contracting Parties and on their effectiveness, and to inform the Contracting Parties accordingly; and

4. to identify problems experienced by the Contracting Parties in the implementation of the measures and to formulate proposals which may help to overcome those problems.

At least, it strongly calls our attention the fact that « the formulation and adoption of a regional action plan for the reduction and, as far as possible, the elimination of pollution from land-based activities, which will include quantitative objectives and a calendar of implementation », that was considered to be an appropriate way to reach the objective of this component of MAP Phase II, is not included among the recommended regional activities for the next years.

On the other hand, the activities recommended at national level pretend to formulate and implement national action programmes or plans, based on the precautionary approach, to prevent and eliminate pollution from land-based activities. Those programmes or plans should (and not shall) include, as appropriate :

1. the setting up or the strengthening of a public administration specialised in the prevention of and fight against pollution and the provision of adequate funds for its funding;

2. the development of adequate national legal instruments and the formulation and the adoption of measures for the prevention and the elimination of pollution;

3. the creation or strengthening of bodies of environmental inspectors having specific training and administrative authority;

4. the use of appropriate economic instruments deriving from the « polluter pays » principle and the precautionary approach;
5. to encourage voluntary agreements (covenants) for the reduction and elimination of pollution, where appropriate;
6. to establish a calendar for the full implementation of the common measures against pollution adopted by the Contracting Parties, as well as the relevant points of the Genoa Declaration (23);
7. to develop and implement national compliance monitoring programmes, carried out by participating national collaborating institutions; and
8. the provision for mandatory country reporting on the implementation of national action plans, including the monitoring of compliance.

*C. – The Priority Fields of Activities
for the Environment and Development
in the Mediterranean Basin (1996-2005)*

The Priority Fields of Activities for the Environment and Development in the Mediterranean Basin is the programme of activities that is going to substitute the Declaration of the Second Mediterranean Decade (Genoa, 13 September 1985). Nevertheless, the Priority Fields of Activities follows a complete different strategy than the Genoa Declaration. While in the Genoa Declaration the Contracting Parties identified ten targets to be achieved during the second decade of the MAP (1986-1995), the Priority Fields of Activities lists twelve fields of activities, which receive priority in conformity with the Agenda MED 21, and which contain

(23) During the Fourth Ordinary Meeting of the Contracting Parties to the Barcelona Convention and its related Protocols (Genoa, 9-13 September 1985) the Mediterranean States and the European Commission adopted the Genoa Declaration on the Second Mediterranean Decade. At the Genoa Declaration they committed themselves to the achievement of a number of environmental targets during the second decade of operation (1986-1995) of the MAP. These targets included the establishment as a matter of priority of sewage treatment plants in all cities around the Mediterranean with more than 100 000 inhabitants, and appropriate outfalls and/or appropriate treatment plants for all towns with more than 10 000 inhabitants (point 17 (b)). This target has only been partially achieved, but the general situation has improved considerably in a number of Mediterranean areas through the establishment of new sewage treatment plants and the construction of submarine outfall structures, as a result of which a certain proportion of wastewater is no longer being discharged in the immediate coastal zones (i.e. practically at the land/sea interface). The text of the Genoa Declaration is published in E.L. MILES; T. TREVES (eds.), *The Law of the Sea : New Worlds, New Discoveries*, 1993, pp. 236 ss.

up to 61 priority objectives to be reached during the forthcoming decade (1996-2005).

The twelve fields of activities selected for the Third Mediterranean Decade do reflect the major concerns for sustainable development in this geographical area during the next years (24). However, some particular reference to activities and substances that alter and disturb the atmospheric environment and on climate change is missed, being these subjects linked with marine pollution resulting from land-based activities. Moreover, although none of the twelve priority fields of activities deals exclusively with land-based pollution, at least there are 13 objectives, out of the 61 priority objectives, related to this important source of marine pollution. These objectives, though they are very different in scope, are the following :

1. to promote appropriate treatment and reuse of waste water and saline water;
2. to encourage the installation of infrastructures for the treatment of urban sewage of 100 Mediterranean coastal cities corresponding to a pollutant load of approximately 10 million people;
3. to prepare and adopt national programmes on reduction and environmental management of hazardous wastes on the basis of methodology guidelines for a rational environmental management;
4. to prepare and adopt national programmes on the environmental management of urban wastes on the basis of methodology guidelines for a rational environmental management;
5. to encourage the installation of controlled discharges or treatment plants in coastal towns of over 100 000 inhabitants;
6. to encourage the installation of at least one secure depot and, where necessary, a treatment plant for hazardous wastes in each Mediterranean country;
7. to stimulate actions for the control of marine and coastal litter, especially persistent synthetic materials;

(24) The twelve priority fields of activities deal with the following matters : integration of environment and development; integrated management of natural resources; integrated management of coastal areas; waste management; agriculture; industry and energy; transport; tourism; urban development and the environment; information; assessment, prevention and control of marine pollution; conservation of nature, landscape and sites.

8. to encourage the preparation of national and regional strategies in the Mediterranean based on controlled, appropriate and rational use of seeds, fertilisers and pesticides;

9. to identify the best available and environmental sound techniques and best environmental practices, prioritising the aspects of availability, accessibility, cost and effectiveness, especially in the production and use of energy, paper, tanneries and derivatives, cement works, metallurgy, agro-industries, and organic and inorganic chemical industry;

10. to promote the development and application of programmes for the transfer, adaptation of and expertise in appropriate technology, prioritising clean and safe technologies and taking into account the additional costs involved;

11. to develop and implement programmes to reduce polluting emissions and monitor industrial residues;

12. to assess, on the basis of agreed methodologies, the inputs of pollutants in the sea from water courses, the atmosphere and diffuse sources, and to evaluate in each country the major sources of marine pollution; and

13. to promote the reduction of the amount of pollution carried into the marine environment, particularly by strengthening capabilities for implementing the 13 (nowadays, 15) specific common measures already adopted pursuant to the 1980 LBS Protocol.

IV. – THE 1996 AMENDMENTS TO THE PROTOCOL FOR THE PROTECTION OF THE MEDITERRANEAN SEA AGAINST POLLUTION FROM LAND-BASED SOURCES

The need to improve the legal protection of the marine environment from land-based sources and activities, responsible of more than 80 % of the pollution existing in the Mediterranean Sea, was the main reason for revising the 1980 LBS Protocol. The intention of the 1996 Amendments is to develop an international treaty that allows for the prevention and the most effective elimination of land-based pollution in the Mediterranean, both at the regional and national levels, and to update the 1980 LBS Protocol in conformity with the results of the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED) and with the provisions of the Global

Programme of Action for the Protection of the Marine Environment from Land-Based Activities (25). It must also be highlighted that the Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the North-East Atlantic (Paris, 22 September 1992) (26) also exerted a strong influence on the revision of the 1980 LBS Protocol.

However, it is not clear at all the reason why the Contracting Parties preferred to negotiate a set of Amendments to the Protocol adopted in Athens seventeen years ago, rather than celebrating a new Protocol that would replace the old 1980 LBS Protocol, as they effectively did with the 1995 Protocol on Specially Protected Areas and Biological Diversity in the Mediterranean. Despite the fact that the 1980 LBS Protocol, after the Amendments approved in Syracuse on 7 March 1996, is a wholly different international treaty, both for the quantitative and qualitative importance of the new Amendments adopted, the possibility of negotiating a new Protocol to replace the old one was not even taken into consideration.

The most important change that has been introduced is the new objective and philosophy of the 1996 amended Protocol. It must be remembered that the old 1980 LBS Protocol was aimed at preventing, abating, combating and controlling this polluting source in the Mediterranean Sea Area (Art. 1). In order to achieve this aim, the 1980 LBS Protocol distinguished between pollution from land-based sources caused by substances listed in its Annex I (27), that ought

(25) See Doc. UNEP(OCA)/LBA/IG.2/6 (5 December 1995) : « Report of the Intergovernmental Conference to Adopt a Global Programme of Action for the Protection of the Marine Environment from Land-Based Activities », 103 pp. The Global Programme of Action exerted a strong influence along the whole revision process of the 1980 LBS Protocol. Thus, in the very First Meeting of Legal and Technical Experts for the revision of this Protocol, the Secretary informed on the results of the Meeting of Reykjavik (March, 1995) and on the Draft Global Programme of Action (see Doc. UNEP(OCA)/MED WG.92/4 (11 May 1995) : « Report of the Meeting of Legal and Technical Experts to Examine Amendments to the Protocol for the Protection of the Mediterranean Sea Against Pollution from Land-Based Sources (Syracuse, 4-6 May 1995) », *op. cit.*, p. 3, par. 12). Afterwards, during the Second Meeting of Experts, the Secretary provided a detailed report on the results of the Meeting of Washington D.C. and on the final adoption of the Global Programme of Action, by presenting the document UNEP(OCA)/MED WG.107/Inf.3 (5 February 1996) : « Global Programme of Action for the Protection of the Marine Environment from Land-Based Activities and Other Activities Relevant to the Process of Amendment of the LBS Protocol and Its Implementation. Information paper by the Secretariat », 16 pp.

(26) See E. HEY, T. IJLSTRA, A. NOLLKAEMPER, « The 1992 Paris Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the North-East Atlantic : A Critical Analysis », *IJMCL*, 8, 1993, pp. 1 ss.; J. JUSTE RUIZ, « La Convention pour la protection du milieu marin de l'Atlantique Nord-Est », *RGDIP*, 97, 1993, pp. 365 ss.

(27) The substances listed in this Annex were mainly selected on the basis of their toxicity, persistence and bioaccumulation.

to be fully eliminated (Art. 5), and pollution from land-based sources caused by substances or sources listed in its Annex II (28), that had to be strictly limited (Art. 6), but without needing to be fully eliminated. In fact, discharges of this second kind of substances were allowed under the condition of getting a previous authorisation granted by the competent national authority taking due account of the provisions of its Annex III (29).

Moreover, the 1996 amended Protocol underlines the idea of the gradual elimination of pollution deriving from land-based sources and activities. Indeed, pursuant to the amended Art. 1, the Contracting Parties undertake to prevent, abate, combat and eliminate to the fullest possible extent this kind of pollution (30), giving priority to the phasing out of inputs of substances that are toxic, persistent and liable to bioaccumulate (31) and existing only one list of categories of substances (32).

An important set of new provisions has been introduced in the 1996 amended Protocol, in order to facilitate the prosecution of this more radical aim. Among the new provisions, it must be pointed out the express reception for this Protocol of the new environmental principles and techniques that arose after the Rio Summit, such as the references to the precautionary principle and the polluter

(28) The substances, families and groups of substances, or sources of pollution listed in its Annex II were mainly selected on the basis of criteria used for its Annex I, while taking into account the fact that they are generally less noxious or are more readily rendered harmless by natural processes and therefore generally affect more limited coastal areas.

(29) Annex III listed the characteristics and composition of the waste, the characteristics of waste constituents with respect to their harmfulness, the characteristics of discharge site and receiving marine environment, the availability of waste technologies and the potential impairment of marine ecosystems and sea-water uses.

(30) The new amended Art. 5-1 insists again on the idea of progressive elimination. This Article reads as follows : « *The Parties undertake to eliminate pollution deriving from land-based sources and activities, in particular to phase out inputs of the substances that are toxic, persistent and liable to bioaccumulate listed in Annex I* ».

(31) The expression « substances that are toxic, persistent and liable to bioaccumulate » was introduced in conformity with paragraph six of the Barcelona Resolution.

(32) In the First Meeting of Experts held in Syracuse, Greenpeace International proposed to keep the system of double list. All the national delegations preferred the system of only one list, except the Spanish delegation, who backed the Greenpeace proposal. However, in the Second Meeting of Experts held in Syracuse, the attitude of the national delegations surprisingly changed only a few days before the beginning of this Meeting, Italy formally proposed to reintroduce the system of double list (see DOC. UNEP(OCA)/MED WG.107/3 Add. 2 (23 February 1996) : « Proposed Amendments to the Protocol for the Protection of the Mediterranean Sea Against Pollution from Land-Based Sources. Addendum 2 », pp. 3-4). The Italian proposal was not backed by any other national delegation, and among the national delegations that expressly opposed its proposal, the Spanish delegation was the most radical one in not reconsidering this subject.

pays principle, undertaking environmental impact assessment and utilising the best available techniques and the best environmental practices, including clean production technologies (33).

It is also important to highlight that the geographical scope of this Protocol has been extensively broadened. On the one hand, the area to which this Protocol applies, comprises, from now onwards, not only the Mediterranean Sea Area where the 1995 amended Barcelona Convention applies (34), but also the hydrological basin of this region (35), as well as the brackish waters, the coastal salt waters including marshes and coastal lagoons, and the ground waters communicating with the Mediterranean Sea (Art. 3). On the other hand, the wording regarding the sources and activities to which the 1996 amended Protocol shall apply, has been notably improved. Pursuant to its amended Art. 4, this Protocol shall apply to discharges originating from land-based point and diffuse sources and activities within the territories of the Contracting Parties that may affect directly or indirectly the Mediterranean Sea Area; to inputs of polluting substances transported by the atmosphere to the Mediterranean Sea Area from land-based sources or activities within the territories of the Contracting Parties; and to polluting discharges from fixed man-made offshore structures which are under the jurisdiction of a Party and which serve purposes other than exploration and exploitation of mineral resources of the continental shelf and the sea-bed and its subsoil (36). Finally, it has introduced an invitation to States that are not Contracting Parties to this

(33) Preamble, par. 5. The repetition of these principles and techniques in this Protocol, previously ruled in Art. 4 of the 1995 amended Barcelona Convention, is partly explained as a result of the celerity of the revision process of the Barcelona system. In the Meeting of Experts held in Barcelona in February 1995, there was an unanimous agreement on the material lack of time in order to discuss the annexes to the Barcelona Convention concerning the criteria for the definition of the best available techniques and the best environmental practices. For filling up this gap, it was agreed to introduce these annexes among the amendments to the 1990 LBS Protocol.

(34) Its geographical coverage is the Mediterranean Sea Area, which is defined by its Art. 1.1 as the maritime waters of the Mediterranean Sea proper, including its gulfs and seas, bounded to the west by the meridian passing through Cape Spartel lighthouse, at the entrance of the Straits of Gibraltar, and to the east by the southern limits of the Straits of the Dardanelles between Mehmetcik and Kumkale lighthouses.

(35) Pursuant to the new Art. 2 (d), «hydrologic basin» means the entire watershed area within the territories of the Contracting Parties, draining into the Mediterranean Sea Area as defined in Art. 1 of the 1995 amended Barcelona Convention.

(36) See the new Annex III, entitled Conditions of application to pollution transported through the atmosphere. This so broad wording is also reflected in the new title of the 1996 amended Protocol : Protocol for the Protection of the Mediterranean Sea against Pollution from Land-Based Sources and Activities.

Protocol but have in their territories parts of the hydrologic basin of the Mediterranean Area to co-operate in its implementation (37).

The most important innovation introduced by the 1996 Amendments consists in the undertaking of the Contracting Parties to elaborate and implement, individually or jointly, as appropriate, national and regional action plans and programmes, containing measures and timetables for their implementation, in order to progressively eliminate pollution deriving from land-based sources and activities (Art. 5.-2). These action plans, programmes and measures are the instruments that are going to be used for achieving the objectives of the 1996 amended Protocol. Therefore, the 1996 amended Protocol pays a particular attention to the criteria and conditions that will be taken into account in their preparation and adoption. Thus, these action plans, programmes and measures will aim to cover the sectors of activity listed in Section A (38) and also cover the groups of substances enumerated in Section C (39),

(37) This provision is a very concrete application of the new Art. 3.-4 of the 1995 amended Barcelona Convention and it is thinking in non Mediterranean States, such as Switzerland or Sudan, that enjoy international waterways running through their territories but that finally flow into the Mediterranean Sea. The new Art. 3.-4 of the 1995 amended Barcelona Convention shall apply, for instance, in the hypothesis of third States that, due to activities carried out within their territories, pollute the Mediterranean Sea Area with substances transported by the atmosphere.

(38) The fact that Section A of Annex I lists 30 different sectors of activity that will be primarily considered when setting priorities for the preparation of action plans, programmes and measures for the elimination of the pollution from land-based sources and activities, seems to be an excessive number and makes doubts up on the convenience and usefulness of this Section. It is difficult to think on a concrete sector of activity not listed in this Section. Therefore, it should be more appropriate to delete the whole Section A of Annex I.

(39) It must be pointed out that the very first category of substances among the 19 categories of substances and sources of pollution listed in Section C of Annex I is the following : « Organohalogen compounds and substances which may form such compounds in the marine environment. Priority will be given to Aldrin, Chlordane, DDT, Dieldrin, Dioxins and Furans, Endrin, Heptachlor, Hexachlorobenzene, Mirex, PCBs and Toxaphene ». This wording links the Mediterranean coastal States initiative to reduce by the year 2005 « discharges and emissions which could reach the marine environment, of substances which are toxic, persistent and liable to bioaccumulate, in particular the organohalogens, to levels that are not harmful to man or nature, with a view to their gradual elimination » (par. 6 of the Barcelona Resolution) with the decision 18/32 adopted by the 18th Governing Council of UNEP in May 1995, entitled « Persistent Organic Pollutants », which launched a world-wide initiative looking for realistic response strategies, policies and mechanisms for the reduction and/or elimination of emission, discharge and losses of persistent organic pollutants (i.e. PCBs, dioxins and furans, aldrin, dieldrin, DDT, endrin, chlordane, hexachlorobenzene, mirex, toxaphene and heptachlor), including the possibility of negotiating an appropriate international legal mechanism which should be submitted to the 19th Governing Council of UNEP Meeting in January 1997. On these twelve substances, see GREENPEACE INTERNATIONAL (1995), « Dirty Dozen » Chemical Profiles. Paper prepared by Greenpeace International for the Intergovernmental Conference on Protection of the Marine Environment from Land Based Activities, Washington D.C. from 23 October-3 November, 1995, 22 pp.; *ibid.*, (1996), « From Washington to Syracuse : a regional ban on persistent

selected on the basis of the characteristics listed in Section B (40) of its Annex I (41). In preparing action plans, programmes and measures, the Contracting Parties, in conformity with the Global Programme of Action for the Protection of the Marine Environment from Land-Based Activities, adopted in Washington D.C. in 1995, will give priority to substances that are toxic, persistent and liable to bioaccumulate, in particular to persistent organic pollutants, as well as to waste water treatment and management (Annex I, para. 4). In their adoption, the Contracting Parties shall also take into account, either individually or jointly, the best available techniques and the best environmental practices including, where appropriate, clean production technologies, taking into account the criteria set forth in its Annex IV (42). Finally, the last requirements

toxic chemicals», 15 pp. It should be noted that very recently a Conference of Plenipotentiaries convened by UNEP has adopted the Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants (Stockholm, 23 May 2001). The text of this Convention can be consulted in : <http://irpte.unepch/pops>.

(40) The characteristics of substances listed in Section B of Annex I were proposed by the Secretary to the Second Meeting of Experts (see Doc. UNEP(OCA)/MED WG.107/3 (9 January 1996) : « Proposed Amendments to the Protocol for the Protection of the Mediterranean Sea Against Pollution from Land-Based Sources », p. 12), and this proposal was a repetition, nearly word by word, of the Appendix 2 to the Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the North-East Atlantic (Paris, 22 September 1992).

(41) See Art. 5.-3. Annex I is entitled : « Elements to Be Taken into Account in the Preparation of Action Plans, Programmes and Measures for the Elimination of Pollution from Land-Based Sources and Activities ». See M. BADENES, « Land-Based Sources Pollution : Recent Legal Trends for the Mediterranean Sea Area », in H. GÖKÇEKUS (ed.), *Proceedings of the International Conference on Water Problems in the Mediterranean Countries*, Nicosia, 1998, vol. 2, pp. 1139 ss.

(42) See Art. 5.-4. Annex IV of the 1996 amended Protocol is entitled : « Criteria for the Definition of Best Available Techniques and Best Environmental Practices ». The history of the negotiations of this Annex deserves some comments. At the First Meeting of Experts held in Syracuse, there was not real time to begin its negotiation. Therefore, it was decided to distribute on an informal basis the text of Appendix 1 to the Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the North-East Atlantic (Paris, 22 September 1992), concerning the criteria for the definition of best available techniques and best environmental practices. The Contracting Parties undertook to send their comments on this Appendix 1 to the Secretary before the end of June 1995, in order to enable the Secretary to prepare a Draft Annex on this subject, designed particularly for the Mediterranean. The Draft Annex proposed by the Secretary can be found in Doc. UNEP(OCA)/MED WG.107/3 (9 January 1996) : « Proposed Amendments to the Protocol for the Protection of the Mediterranean Sea Against Pollution from Land-Based Sources », *op. cit.*, pp. 15-17. Once again immediately before the beginning of the Second Meeting of Experts, the Italian Delegation formally proposed that the Annex to be adopted on this subject should be a repetition word by word of Appendix 1 to the 1992 Paris Convention (see Doc. UNEP(OCA)/MED WG.107/3 Add. 2 (23 February 1996) : « Proposed Amendments to the Protocol for the Protection of the Mediterranean Sea Against Pollution from Land-Based Sources. Addendum 2 », pp. 6-8). Neither of the two proposals was discussed until the very last minute of this Meeting. At the dawn of the last day, the Italian Delegation introduced its proposal, with the only argument that it was already in force for France and Spain, as they are coastal States both of the North-East Atlantic and of the Mediterranean Sea. Without any additional argument, the delegations of France, Greece, European Union and Israel backed the Italian proposal. The Spanish Delegation held that it was able to accept either of the two proposals. As it was evident that States responsible of the most land-based pollution in the

for the adoption of action plans, programmes and measures are provided for by Art. 7.-3. According to it, they shall be adopted by taking into account, for their progressive implementation, both the capacity to adapt and reconvert existing installations, and the economic capacity of the Contracting Parties and their need for development.

In order to ensure the implementation of these action plans, programmes and measures, several safeguards clauses have been introduced by the 1996 Amendments to this Protocol. For instance, it must be pointed out that the Organisation undertakes to formulate short-term and medium-term regional action plans and programmes containing measures and timetables for their implementation within one year at the latest since the entry into force of the amendments to this Protocol (Art. 15.-2). Moreover, for the first time in the history of the MAP, the measures and timetables for implementing the regional action plans and programmes shall enjoy a mandatory character (43), and a very flexible procedure for their entry into force has been established (44).

In fact, it also deserves a particular attention the mechanisms for the implementation of this Protocol, that have been notably improved and strengthened. On the one hand, the 1996 amended Protocol stresses that both point source discharges into the Mediterranean Sea Area, and releases into water or air that reach and may affect the Mediterranean Area, shall be strictly subject to authorisation or regulation by the competent authorities of the Contracting Parties. These authorisations shall be granted taking due account of the provisions of the 1996 amended Protocol, par-

Mediterranean preferred the wording of Appendix 1 to the 1992 Paris Convention, the Meeting approved by unanimity the Italian proposal, without discussing the contents of neither of the two proposals.

(43) Therefore, a distinction must be made between the fifteen measures adopted pursuant to the old 1980 LBS Protocol, that lack any mandatory character, and the measures and timetables that shall be adopted after the entry into force of the 1996 Amendments, that shall be binding for the Contracting Parties and so it will be legally possible to claim their implementation.

(44) Pursuant to Art. 15, the Meeting of the Contracting Parties shall adopt, by a two-thirds majority, the regional action plans and programmes containing measures and timetables for their implementation. These measures and timetables shall become binding for the Contracting Parties after a period of 180 days, except for the Contracting Parties which have notified the Secretariat of an objection before that deadline.

ticularly its new Annex II (45), as well as the relevant decisions or recommendations approved at the Meetings of the Contracting Parties. In order to assess compliance with these authorisations and regulations, the Contracting Parties shall provide for systems of inspections by their competent authorities, and undertake to establish appropriate sanctions in case of non-compliance with the authorisations and regulations and ensure their application (Art. 6).

On the other hand, these duties are complemented with the undertaking of the Contracting Parties to carry out at the earliest possible date monitoring activities and to make their findings accessible to the public. These monitoring activities shall be carried out in order both to systematically assess, as far as possible, the levels of pollution along their coasts, in particular with regard to the sectors of activity and categories of substances listed in its Annex I, and to evaluate the effectiveness of action plans, programmes and measures implemented under the 1996 amended Protocol to eliminate to the fullest possible extent pollution of the marine environment. The results and findings of these environmental monitoring activities shall be accessible to the public (Art. 8) and the Contracting Parties shall submit reports on these matters to the Meetings of the Contracting Parties for their consideration and for keeping under review the implementation of the 1996 amended Protocol (Art. 13-1 and 14-2).

V. – PREPARATORY WORKS FOR THE EARLY IMPLEMENTATION OF THE 1996 AMENDED PROTOCOL

The 1996 Amendments to the Protocol for the Protection of the Mediterranean Sea against Pollution from Land-Based Sources have not entered into force yet. Until now, only seven Contracting Parties have accepted these amendments (46). This number still falls

(45) The amended Annex II is entitled : « Elements to Be Taken into Account in the Issue of the Authorisations for Discharges of Wastes ». This Annex lists the characteristics and composition of the discharges, the characteristics of discharge constituents with respect to their harmfulness and the characteristics of discharge site and receiving environment. It also deals with the availability of waste technologies and the potential impairment of marine ecosystems and sea-waters uses.

(46) The Contracting Parties that have accepted the 1996 Amendments to the LBS Protocol are the following : Morocco (2 October 1996), Monaco (26 November 1996), Tunisia (1 June 1998), Spain (17 February 1999), Italy (7 September 1999), Malta (28 October 1999) and the European Community (12 November 1999).

short away from the three fourths of the Contracting Parties which are required to notify their acceptance for the entry into force of the 1996 Amendments. However, without waiting for the entry into force of the 1996 amended Protocol, the preparatory works for the early implementation of these 1996 Amendments have already begun.

We have seen that, pursuant to Article 15.-2, the Organisation undertakes to formulate short-term and medium-term regional action plans and programmes containing measures and timetables for their implementation within one year at the latest since the entry into force of the 1996 Amendments to this Protocol. In this regard, it should be mentioned that the Secretariat of MAP submitted a first draft of a regional Strategic Action Programme to Address Pollution from Land-Based Activities to a Meeting of Government-designated Experts which was held in Ischia, Italy, from 15 to 18 June 1997. This Meeting of Experts examined the first draft document and agreed on a number of amendments, corrections and additions. The draft Strategic Action Programme was then submitted to a second Meeting of Government-designated Experts, held in Athens, Greece, from 13 to 16 October 1997, and their comments and suggestions were also incorporated by the Secretariat who then submitted the draft document for adoption to the Tenth Ordinary Meeting of the Contracting Parties to the Barcelona Convention (Tunis, 18-21 November 1997). In this Meeting, the Contracting Parties formally adopted the Strategic Action Programme to Address Pollution from Land-Based Activities according to the provisions of Articles 5, 6 and 7 of the 1980 LBS Protocol (47). The Contracting Parties also agreed that, once the 1996 Amended LBS Protocol come into force, the Strategic Action Programme would be resubmitted for adoption according to the provisions of Article 15 of the 1996 Amended Protocol. At that stage, a review of the Strategic Action Programme would be made to proceed to a possible revision of target dates and activities, if necessary.

The Strategic Action Programme is based on the preliminary findings of the regionally prepared transboundary diagnostic

(47) The text of the Strategic Action Programme can be found in *UNEP*, « Strategic Action Programme to Address Pollution from Land-Based Activities », *MAP Technical Reports Series*, n° 119, Athens, 1998, pp. 179 ss.

analysis that represents a regional synthesis of actions regarding the protection of the marine environment from land-based activities. The Strategic Action Programme is addressed to all Contracting Parties and proposes common objectives. However, it is evident that the implementation of the proposed activities takes into account the state of the environment of each country. The timing for targets and for activities is also different for different countries, taking into account e.g. of the capacity to adapt and reconvert existing installations, the economic capacity and the need for development. The specific objectives of the Strategic Action Programme are :

1. the formulation of principles, approaches, measures, timetables and priorities for action;
2. the preparation of a priority list for intervention and investments (the so called « investment portfolio »);
3. the analysis of expected baseline and additional actions needed to resolve each transboundary priority problem;
4. the identification of the elements and the preparation of guidelines for the formulation of national action plans for the protection of the marine environment from land-based activities; and
5. the identification of potential roles for Non-Governmental Organizations in the implementation of this Strategic Action Programme.

Taking into account the Global Programme of Action (Washington, 1995) and the 1996 Amended Protocol, the Strategic Action Programme has selected the following categories of substances as priorities. The selected category of substances cover both urban environment (municipal sewage, urban solid waste and air pollution) and industrial development (substances that are toxic, persistent and liable to bioaccumulate, other heavy metals, organohalogen compounds, radioactive substances, nutrients and suspended solids, and hazardous wastes). For each category of substances the Strategic Action Programme carries out an analysis of targets and activities to resolve each transboundary priority problem. These targets and activities may be national or regional and of legal, institutional or technical nature.

VI. – CONCLUSIONS

During the last few years, the Mediterranean Coastal States and the European Community have constantly expressed their will of strengthening their efforts to prevent, abate, combat and eliminate to the fullest possible extent pollution in the Mediterranean Sea Area resulting from land-based sources and activities. Since the end of 1994, they have adopted several political understandings that embrace different arrangements concerning land-based pollution that have to be implemented during the next years.

Nearly at the same time, they also amended the old 1980 LBS Protocol with the same purpose. The 1996 Amendments to the 1980 LBS Protocol provides, for instance, for the adoption of accurate measures and timetables that, for the first time in the history of the MAP, will enjoy a mandatory character.

But although it is true that the Mediterranean Coastal States and the European Community have established a very strong political and legal framework to fight against this special source of marine pollution, the practical implementation of all these political and legal undertakings is something that remains to be seen. We have to bear in mind that the fight against land-based pollution is very expensive and technical in nature, and that only very few Mediterranean States are in good conditions to comply with all the new provisions of the 1996 amended LBS Protocol. Therefore, and to a large extent, the future success of all these political and legal undertakings will depend upon the development of an effective solidarity among all the Mediterranean States.